

The background features a light purple map of Europe. Overlaid on the map are several thick, curved, semi-transparent ribbons in shades of green, orange, and blue, which appear to be part of a stylized logo or graphic design.

AREPO survey for the assessment of the new regulations on quality

AREPO Technical Meeting, September 24th

1. Rural Development Programme

Sub-measure 3.1: Support for new participation to quality schemes

ACTIVATION OF THE MEASURE 3.1

- **9/13 regions chose to *activate* the measure:**

Aquitaine, Bretagne, Catalunya, Emilia-Romagna, Languedoc-Roussillon, Lombardia, Malopolska, Piemonte, Veneto.

- **4/13 regions chose *not to activate* the measure:**

Creta, Extremadura, Midi-Pyrénées, Toscana

Main reasons:

- **Administrative costs overcome benefices** for producers
- Since the measure was implemented during the programming period 2007-2013, **there are no new farmers** that could benefit from these measure (Extremadura)

1. Rural Development Programme

Sub-measure 3.1: Support for new participation to quality schemes

DEFINITION OF NEW PARTICIPATION

1. Registration **after the date of publication of the public call** for the aid (2/13 regions: Bretagne and Malopolska)
2. Registration after the following date (5/13)
 - Piemonte (1/01/2011)
 - Emilia-Romagna, Lombardia, Veneto (01/01/2012)
 - Aquitaine (less than 5 years)
3. Catalunya has not defined it in the RDP

NB. Those regions who chose **not to implement the measure** (Midi-Pyrénées and Extremadura) interpreted new participation as **registration after the date of publication of the Reg. EU 1305/2013**

1. Rural Development Programme

Sub-measure 3.1: Support for new participation to quality schemes

BENEFICIARIES

Inclusion of collective actors

- 1. Yes (8/13):** Bretagne, Catalunya, Emilia-Romagna, Languedoc-Roussillon, Lombardia, Malopolska, Piemonte, Veneto (only collective actors) (+ Mydi-Pyrenées and Extremadura)
- 2. No (1/12):** Aquitaine

7/8 introduced a more **detailed and comprehensive definition**, including also the associations and **non-producers actors**
Catalunya, Emilia-Romagna, Lombardia, Malopolska, Piemonte, Veneto

6/8 established that **collective beneficiaries** should include **subject of first participation**
Bretagne, Catalunya, Emilia-Romagna, Lombardia, Piemonte, Veneto

4/8 introduced procedures to **document the transfer of the benefit to the individual farmer**: Catalunya, Emilia-Romagna, Piemonte, Veneto (in the call for the measure)

1. Rural Development Programme

Sub-measure 3.2: Support for information and promotion activities

ACTIVATION AND BENEFICIARIES

- **12/13 regions chose to *activate* the measure:**

Aquitaine, Bretagne, Catalunya, Emilia-Romagna, Extremadura, Languedoc-Roussillon, Lombardia, Malopolska, Midi-Pyrénées, Piemonte, Toscana, Veneto.

- **5/12 the producers associations should include *farmers participating for the first time in the subsidized quality scheme***

Catalunya, Bretagne, Emilia-Romagna, Lombardia, Piemonte (for integrated projects 3.2.2)

Vs

- **7/12 the producers associations *should not include farmers participating for the first time in the subsidized quality scheme***

Aquitaine, Extremadura, Languedoc-Roussillon, Malopolska, Midi-Pyrénées, Toscana, Veneto + Piemonte (for promotion projects)

- **11/12 consider a *scheme eligible for the aid with the sub-measure 3.2 independently of being financed by the sub-measure 3.1***

1. Rural Development Programme

Sub-measure 3.1 and sub-measure 3.2

QUALITY SCHEMES ELIGIBLE FOR AID

	Art.16, par.1.a
Extremadura	Ribera del Guadiana, Aceite Monterrubio, Cereza del Jerte, Dehesa de Extremadura, Aceite Gata-Hurdes, Pimentón de la Vera, Queso Ibores, Queso Serena, Torta del Casar, Cordero de Extremadura, Ternera de Extremadura.

	Art.16, par.1.a	Art.16, par.1.b	Art.16, par.1.c
<i>Aquitaine</i>		Label Rouge	Certification Haute valeur environnementale (HVE)
<i>Malopolska</i>			
<i>Midi-Pyrénées (3.2)</i>			
<i>Piemonte</i>			

	Art.16, par.1.a	Art.16, par.1.b
<i>Catalunya</i>		
<i>Emilia-Romagna</i>		
<i>Lombardia</i>	Reg. UE 1151/2012; Reg. CE 834/2007; Reg. Ce 110/2008; Reg. CEE 1601/1991; Reg. UE 1308/2013	1. National system for integrated production; 2. National system of quality livestock;
<i>Toscana (3.2)</i>		
<i>Veneto</i>		1. National system for integrated production; 2. National system of quality livestock; 3. "Qualità Verificata" scheme
<i>Languedoc-Roussillon</i>		AOC, Label Rouge, Certification de conformité Produit, (Démarche Sud de France only 3.2)

2. Delegated Regulation (UE) No.664/2014

Art. 1 specific rules on sourcing of feed and of raw materials

- **10/12 regions** have PDOs with **product specifications** on feed sourcing **contrasting** with the EU delegated regulation 664/2014
- **9/12 regions** affirm that **this condition could cause problems** for the producers of already registered PDOs (*the agro-climatic characteristics of the defined area limit the production of animal feeds; characteristics of the PDO*)
- Implementation of the delegated regulation:
 - **1/12** From the beginning without changes in product specifications (Midy-Pyrénées)
 - **10/12** the rule should not be applied to already existing product specifications (not retroactive Vs to apply only in case the specifications have to be changed).
- **8/12 regions** this condition makes it **difficult or impossible to register new PDOs** (*especially in mountain and disadvantaged areas*)

The MS have not discussed the issue with the regions.

3. Delegated Regulation (UE) No.665/2014 on the optional quality term 'mountain product'

- Member State intervention to limit the derogation:
 - 4/11 Yes, it would be appropriate
 - 6/11 No, it would not be appropriate
- What is the appropriate way for the MS to limit the derogation?
 - 1/11 Deciding not to apply the derogation
 - 4/11 Deciding not to apply the derogation and delegating to the regions the power to allow specific exemptions
 - 1/11 Deciding to apply the derogation but reducing the distance
 - 4/11 Other: It's not appropriate to limit the derogation

The MS have not discussed the issue with the regions.

3. Delegated Regulation (UE) No.665/2014 on the optional quality term 'mountain product'

Creation of an **official list of producers** who use the optional quality term 'mountain product'

- **8/11** regions are **favorable** to the creation of the list
 - Promotion with other producers and consumers information
 - Important to avoid additional costs
 - In order to organize official controls
- **3/11** oppose the **creation** of the list
 - This will create new administrative costs
 - The regulation is directly applicable